#### **CABINET MEMBERS DELEGATED DECISION**

Open Would any deci		cisions pro	posed :			
Any especially affected Wards	Discretionary	Be entirely within Cabinet's powers to decide Need to be recommendations to Council Is it a Key Decision			YES/ <del>NO</del> <del>YES</del> /NO <del>YES</del> /NO	
Lead Member: Cl		I	Othe	r Cabinet N	Members consulted: N	lone
E-mail: cllr.elizabeth.nockolds@west- norfolk.gov.uk		Othe	Other Members consulted: None			
		Othe	Other Officers consulted: Sarah Dennis			
E-mail: Lorraine.gore@west-norfolk.gov.uk Direct Dial (01553 616432)						
Financial Policy/Personnel Statuto		tatutory		Equal Impact	Risk Management	
Implications	Implications	Implication			Assessment	Implications
YES/ <del>NO</del>	<del>YES</del> /NO	<del>YES</del> /NO			YES/NO	<del>YES</del> /NO
					If YES: Pre-	
					screening/ Full Assessment	
Date meeting advertised: 16 <sup>th</sup> March 2018			Date of meeting decision to be taken: 23 <sup>rd</sup> March 2018			
Deadline for Call-In: 3 <sup>rd</sup> April 2018						

#### **Financial Assistance Small Grants Scheme**

#### **Summary**

This report details the decisions made in relation to the third round of capital and revenue grant awards for 2017-18.

#### Recommendation

To approve the decisions set out below.

#### **Reason for Decision**

Determination of applications under the Small Grants Scheme.

A grants panel meeting was held on 15 March to assess applications for capital and revenue grants which fall within the Culture, Heritage and Health Portfolio. This report details the recommendations made at this meeting by the Portfolio Holder.

The recommendations featured in this report are subject to the 'call in' procedure. When the grant decision becomes official the applicants will be notified in writing by the Norfolk Community Foundation on behalf of the Borough Council. All terms and conditions of the grants will be stated in the official offer letter.

## Revenue applications:

**Small Grants Scheme – Revenue grants** 

Applicant	Summary of request	Decision
Benjamin Foundation	To work with young people living in the charity's supported accommodation in King's Lynn on a project to encourage social action and participation in volunteering	£1,200.00
King's Lynn Literature Festivals	Towards the annual Poetry Festival weekend, comprising six events with local and national poets.	£750.00
Norfolk & Norwich Festival	To support the cost of publicity and marketing for Norfolk & Norwich Open Studios (NNOS) to help engage artists, schools and visitors.	£1,000.00
Norfolk Area LGBT Project	To support the running of the BLAH LGBT+ youth group in King's Lynn and West Norfolk.	£650.00
West Norfolk Riding for the Disabled Association	To provide riding lessons for 7 weeks on Tuesdays for children, young people and adults with physical and learning disabilities.	£1,190.00
TOTAL AWARDED		£4,790.00

# Applications declined/ deferred:

Applicant	Summary of request	Decision
Dante or Die Theatre	Towards the staff costs to run the Take On Me project.	Declined – high staffing costs and concerns about safeguarding measures.
EP Youth	To deliver a free outreach youth project within rural communities in West Norfolk to young people aged 11 - 25.	Declined - other funding available to support this work.
Tender Education & Arts	To fund an evidenced arts based programme of workshops designed to engage young people in the prevention of domestic and sexual abuse.	Declined – due to unsatisfactory safeguarding measures. Will be invited to reapply once resolved.
The Purfleet Trust	To run six courses to teach vulnerable and homeless people about healthy eating.	Declined – other funding available to support this work.

# Revenue applications:

Awards over £5,000: None

## **Small Grants Scheme – Capital grants**

Awards under £5,000:

Applicant	Summary of request	Decision
Castle Rising Cricket	To make improvements to the facilities,	£1,300.00
Club	including replacing windows and doors at the	
	pavilion, and purchasing a new mower.	
Dersingham Village	To purchase tables and chairs for the new	£2,500.00
Centre Association	Village Centre. The Panel would like a	
	particular focus on the chairs that will support	
	those with mobility issues.	
The Burnhams Tennis	To fund the connection of water supplies for a	£2,000.00
Club	new pavilion on the site of the Burnhams	
	Tennis Club.	
Three Holes Village Hall	To put towards the costs of the inspection of	£2,500.00
& Playing Field	the poplars and the work that needs to be	
	undertaken to make the playing field safe.	
TOTAL AWARDED		£8,300.00

# **Applications declined/ deferred:**

None

## **Capital applications:**

Awards over £5,000:

## Sims Reeve Institute

The Simms Reeve Institute is a registered charity. The building houses the village hall, social club and Post Office with a playing field, an all-weather sports court and village shop making up the complex. The building is accessed by a range of recreational and educational activities, including; yoga, arts and crafts and a baby and toddler group, as well as hire for outside functions and events.

The development of the site has been in the planning stages since approx. 2015, and the committee has recently been awarded £407,000 by the Big Lottery towards the plans which include extending the building, moving the existing kitchen and Post Office, upgrading the toilets to include disabled access and installing energy efficient heating and lighting systems.

The cost of the project is estimated at £650,000, which will mainly be enabled by the Lottery grant. It is hoped the project will get underway this year.

The grant requested is towards repairs to the building's roof, as identified by a quantity surveyor in 2015. The repairs to the roof will resolve damp issues and

ensure the facility remains in good condition once renovated. Works will cost £30,400 + vat in total.

Decision: To award £8,000.00 to be released when the project has secured the overall required funding and is in a position to proceed.

#### The Yorke Trust

The Yorke Trust is a registered charity, which owns the Creake Centre in South Creake, a venue where a range of arts and music activities take place for the local and wider community. It is situated in a small, very rural village but serves a wider area which has generally poor transport links.

The Creake Centre includes a former chapel used as a performance space and the Sunday School room which is a Grade 2 listed building. It is the latter that is the focus of this request, towards a new roof. This will replace the original slate roofing that has been deteriorating over the years, resulting in water seepage which has begun to damage the interior structure of the building. The Trust has been advised by a builder that the building requires complete re-roofing.

The Sunday School room is used to store all the Trust's extensive theatrical wardrobe, props, scenery and staging, and used also as a recording studio and rehearsal/teaching space. There are longer term plans for a kitchen, toilets, and a mezzanine level to increase storage space and to give an additional rehearsal/study room.

Roof works will total £19,888 inc. vat.

Decision: To award £6,000.00 to be released when the project has secured the overall required funding and is in a position to proceed.

# **Policy Implications**

None.

## **Financial Implications**

The total Financial Assistance budget is as follows:

2017/18 – Revenue Fund	£
	~

Budget 2017/18 – Funds Available	14,200
Commitments	
Report dated 30 June 2017	6,000
Report dated 24 November 2017	3,410
This report	4,790
Total Commitments	14,200
Balance of Funds Available 2017/18	03

2017/18 – Capital Fund	£	
Budget	50,000	
Grants Returned		
<ul><li>Lynn Ladies (2015/16 funds)</li></ul>	1,527	
Hockwold Cricket Club (2016/17 funds) – full	1,065	
grant approved not required	6,558	
<ul> <li>All Saints Wretton (2016/17 funds) – full grant approved not required</li> </ul>	0,330	
Total Funds Available	59,150	
Commitments		
Report dated 30 June 2017	8,970	
Report dated 24 November 2017	25,213	
This report	22,300	
Total Commitments	56,483	
Balance of Funds Available 2017/18	£2,667	

There is sufficient budget provision to cover both revenue and capital recommendations in this report.

Personnel Implications	
None.	
Statutory Considerations	
None.	
Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)	
None.	
Risk Management Implications	
None.	
Declarations of Interest / Dispensations Granted	
None.	
Background Papers	
Original application forms.	
Signed:	
Cabinet Member for:	Date:

# Pre-Screening Equality Impact Assessment

# Borough Council of King's Lynn & West Norfolk



Assessment	wes	t Norioik 🥀	7.(3	70	1	
Name of policy/service/function	Financial A	ssistance – Small Gra	ants S	chem	е	
Is this a new or existing policy/ service/function?	New / Existing (delete as appropriate)					
Brief summary/description of the main aims of the policy/service/function being screened.  Please state if this policy/service rigidly constrained by statutory obligations	A small budget is available for local community and voluntary groups to apply for funding, under the guidance of set criteria, to improve community facilities. The Scheme is administered by Norfolk Community Foundation, with grant award decision made by Borough Council Officers and Members. In not a statutory function.		ns			
Question	Answer					
1. Is there any reason to believe that the policy/service/function could have a specific impact on people from one or more of the following groups according to their different protected characteristic, for example, because			Positive	Negative	Neutral	Unsure
they have particular needs, experiences, issues or	Age				Х	
priorities or in terms of ability to access the	Disability				Х	
service?	Gender				Х	
Diagon tigh the relevant have for each many	Gender Re-as	ssignment			Х	
Please tick the relevant box for each group.	Marriage/civil partnership				Х	
	Pregnancy &	maternity			Х	
NB. Equality neutral means no negative impact on any group.	Race				Х	
any group.	Religion or belief		Х			
	Sexual orienta	orientation			Х	
	Other (eg low	income)			Х	
Question	Answer	Comments				1
2. Is the proposed policy/service likely to affect relations between certain equality communities or to damage relations between the equality communities and the Council, for example because it is seen as favouring a particular community or denying opportunities to another?	Yes / No	No- any constituted funding. Providing they are applying for community, and mo criteria, then any g	the fu or ben eets th	nction efits the Sch	n for w the neme	
3. Could this policy/service be perceived as impacting on communities differently?	<del>Yes</del> /No	Every application has to demonstrate a clear benefit to the community so grants will only ever improve a community and its facilities.			ants	
<b>4.</b> Is the policy/service specifically designed to tackle evidence of disadvantage or potential discrimination?	<del>Yes</del> / No	Some projects will do this through their activities, but this is not a specific requirement of the Scheme.			heir	
5. Are any impacts identified above minor and if so, can these be eliminated or reduced by minor actions?  If yes, please agree actions with a member of the	Yes / No	Actions: Actions agreed by	EWG :	memh	er.	
Corporate Equalities Working Group and list agreed actions in the comments section						
Assessment completed by: Name SARAH DENNIS						
Job title: PARTNERSHIPS & FUNDING OFFICER	Date: 15 <sup>th</sup> N	March 2018				

Please Note: If there are any positive or negative impacts identified in question 1, or there any 'yes' responses to questions 2-4 a full impact assessment will be required.